

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	10647068
	Filing Date	2003-08-22
	First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
	Art Unit	3733
	Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
	Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

U.S.PATENTS							Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
	1						

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

Add

U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS							Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
	1						

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button.

Add

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ² j	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1							<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button

Add

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				Remove
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.	
Art Unit	3733	
Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1	

1	KCI v. BlueSky, Joint Motion for Entry of Order Adopting the Parties' Stipulated Claim Term Constructions dated October 6, 2005. BS-196	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	KCI v. BlueSky, Order Adopting the Parties' Stipulated Claim Term Constructions. BS-197	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Bluesky Medical Group Inc. and Richard Weston's Reply to Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant Bluesky Medical Group Inc. and Richard Weston's Motions for Partial Summary Judgment dated September 28, 2005. BS-198	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	KCI v. Bluesky, Order Granting Plaintiffs' unopposed motion to supplement the record for its response to Bluesky's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment dated October 13, 2005. BS-200	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	KCI v. Bluesky, Order granting Medela, Inc. and Medela AG's unopposed Motion to Extend Deadlines for Markman Briefing dated October 13, 2005. BS-201	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	KCI v. Bluesky, Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Supplement the record in support of their response to Bluesky's motion for partial summary judgment on counts 12, 13, and 15 dated October 10, 2005 (With Exh.). BS-202	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendants' Opening Brief Regarding Claim Construction for The '081 Patent and Certain Claims of the '643 Patent dated October 5, 2005. BS-203	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	KCI v. BlueSky, Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement dated September 16, 2005. BS-204	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Bluesky Medical Group Incorporated's and Richard Weston's Motion for Summary Judgment on the '643 Patent dated August 10, 2005. BS-205	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Bluesky Medical Group Incorporated's and Richard Weston's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the '880 Patent dated August 10, 2005. BS-206	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	KCI v. BlueSky, BlueSky Medical Group Inc. and Richard Weston's motion to exclude certain expert testimony dated April 21, 2006 BS-208	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.	
Art Unit	3733	
Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1	

12	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's Motion to exclude proposed trial testimony of plaintiff's experts Louis C. Argenta, Dennis P. Orgill and Wilson C. Hayes, dated April 21, 2006 BS-209	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiff's motion to exclude opinions of Vincent Pizziconi dated April 21, 2006 BS-210	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiff's motion to exclude certain opinions of Michael O'Neil dated April 21, 2006 BS-211	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's response to plaintiff's motion to exclude opinions of Harriet Hopf with Exhibits dated April 28, 2006 BS-212	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's response to plaintiff's motion to exclude opinions of Michael O'Neil and motion to exclude opinions of John T. Goolkasian with Exhibits dated April 28, 2006 BS-213	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's response to plaintiff's motion to exclude opinions of James Spahn dated April 28,2006 BS-214	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiff's response to Medela's motion to exclude proposed trial testimony of Louis C. Argenta, Dennis P. Orgill, and Wilson C. Hayes, dated May 1, 2006 BS-215	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's Renewed Motion for Judgement as a Matter of Law, or , in the alternative, a New Trial, on Patent Invalidity, dated September 13,2006. BS-216	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela's Motion for a New Trial on Unenforceability, dated September 13, 2006. BS-217	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	Claim Chart of Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent 5,636,643 to Argenta, 3 pages. BS-218	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	Claim Invalidity Analysis of U.S. Patent 5,636,643 to Argenta, et al., 34 pages, March 2004. BS-219	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.	
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

23	KCI v. BlueSky, Letter dated December 9, 2005 from the Honorable Royal Ferguson to attorneys in KCI v. BlueSky regarding claim construction BS-220	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	KCI v. BlueSky, Letter from Valery Gilevich, M.D. to Kirt S. O'Neill, dated May 28, 2006 concerning review of English translation of Russian article BS-221	<input type="checkbox"/>
25	KCI v. BlueSky, Second Amended Order Construing Patent '643 and '081 Claim Terms dated June 29, 2006 BS-222	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	KCI v. BlueSky, Amended answer to third amended complaint, additional defenses, second amended counterclaims and jury demand of Medela, Inc. dated July 12, 2005. BS-223	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	KCI v. BlueSky, Additional supplemental discovery, website printouts dated September 6, 2005. BS-224	<input type="checkbox"/>
28		<input type="checkbox"/>
29		<input type="checkbox"/>
30		<input type="checkbox"/>
31		<input type="checkbox"/>
32		<input type="checkbox"/>
33		<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		10647068
	Filing Date		2003-08-22
	First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.	
	Art Unit		3733
	Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
	Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

	34		<input type="checkbox"/>
--	----	--	--------------------------

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number	10647068
	Filing Date	2003-08-22
	First Named Inventor	Argenta, et al.
	Art Unit	3733
	Examiner Name	PHILOGENE, PEDRO
	Attorney Docket Number	0101-P02977US1

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

See attached certification statement.

Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

None

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/Niels Haun/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-04-19
Name/Print	Niels Haun	Registration Number	48,488

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.